

## Newsroom 2005 benchmark study

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>Executive summary</b>   | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>Introduction</b> (method, TV channels visited, participating broadcasters and vendors)  | <b>10</b> |
| <b>1. Editorial and business context</b>   | <b>18</b> |
| -1.1. Proliferation of news programmes   | 19        |
| -1.2. Accelerated pace of breaking news to air   | 20        |
| -1.3. Importance of basic ratings (for premium channels)   | 22        |
| -1.4. Syndication of crews and correspondents  | 30        |
| -1.5. Four categories of news innovations  | 25        |
| -1.6. News “on demand”   | 27        |
| -1.7. Importance of news lisibility and graphics utilisation   | 29        |
| - 1.8. Utilisation of live   | 31        |
| - 1.9. Importance of rythm   | 32        |
| - 1.10 New sources of images and news  | 33        |
| <b>2. Technical architecture</b>   | <b>34</b> |
| -2.1. Categories of architecture (inventory of references/category & supplier)<br>Who has what in terms of automation, NRCS, and news solution | 34.       |
| -2.2. Level of security  | 52        |
| -2.3. Software architecture (browse or no browse)  | 54        |
| -2.4. Video format   | 57        |
| -2.5. LAN network  | 62        |
| -2.6. 3 different strategies for integration   | 64        |
| -2.7. The growing influence of mass-market B2C solutions   | 69        |
| -2.8. The growing influence of standard packages   | 70        |
| -2.9. Interoperability: standards and norms  | 72        |
| -2.10. Decentralised vs. centralised installations<br>in a multi-channel environment   | 78        |
| -2.11. Corporate policy in large groups  | 79        |
| <b>3. Work organisation</b>  | <b>82</b> |
| -3.1. Journalist control and autonomy  | 84        |
| -3.2. Multi-skilling for hot news  | 85        |
| .3.2.1. Editing by journalists   | 86        |
| .3.2.2. Shooting by journalists  | 93        |
| .3.2.3. Journalists working for several media  | 94        |
| .3.2.4. Editing by cameramen   | 95        |
| .3.2.5. Multiskilling between newsroom and news gallery  | 96        |
| .3.2.6. Two different strategies of multiskilling  | 97        |
| -3.3. Specialisation for magazines   | 98        |
| -3.4. Variable field crew staffing   | 99        |
| -3.5. Reducing news gallery and studio personnel   | 101       |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>4. Noteworthy functions and best practice</b>                        | <b>104</b> |
| –4.1. 25 noteworthy functions in systems                                | 104        |
| –4.2. Media Asset Management  | 114        |
| <b>5. Jobs in transition</b>  | <b>118</b> |
| –5.1. New “value added” jobs  | 119        |
| .5.1.1. “Videojournalists”  | 119        |
| .5.1.2. Craft editors toward more value added tasks                     | 121        |
| .5.1.3. Infographist  | 122        |
| .5.1.4. Journalist-archivist  | 123        |
| .5.1.5. “News coordinator”  | 124        |
| .5.1.6. News editor/ENG coordinator                                     | 125        |
| .5.1.7. Super-users/ systems managers                                   | 126        |
| –5.2. New “IT” jobs   | 129        |
| .5.2.1. Server/ resources/media manager                                 | 129        |
| .5.2.2. IT software designer/integration                                | 130        |
| .5.2.3. Systems maintenance (operator/engineer)                         | 131        |
| .5.2.4. Network administrator   | 132        |
| –5.3. Jobs on the way out   | 133        |
| –5.4. Career paths and job evolution                                    | 135        |
| –5.5. Training  | 136        |
| <b>6. Profitability and business case for the server based newsroom</b> | <b>137</b> |
| –6.1. Financial benefits  | 138        |
| –6.2. Quality gains   | 141        |
| <b>7. Conclusions (key success factors, recommendations)</b>            | <b>145</b> |